

Response is arranged by order of DETAILED ACTION:

1. Claims 1-11 are pending of this office action.

Understood and accepted.

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d)...
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Understood, thanks.

3. Listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement...

Page 29 of the specifications with the cited reference included in this page has been removed.

Reference of Israeli priority application has been provided with initial U.S.A. submission of this application in the form of Certified Copy of the Israeli application.

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

1. Page 1 line 5:

This was the Hebrew title of the invention. It has been removed from.

* New page 1 attached.

2. Page 14, line 14... meaning of the word "relater":

This is a typo error. The correct word should be "related".

* The error is corrected in the enclosed new page 14.

3. Page 14, line 27... meaning of the term "Which Title? window 232."

"Which Title?" is the name of window 232 of Figure 2, as shown in Figure 2.